



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,704	03/19/2001	Charles Lewis	01-P-24995	9722

7590 05/02/2003
Jeffrey S Whittle
Bracewell & Patterson L L P
Suite 2900 711 Louisiana Street
Houston, TX 77002-2781

EXAMINER

GILLIGAN, CHRISTOPHER L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/812,704

Applicant(s)

LEWIS ET AL.

Examiner

Luke Gilligan

Art Unit

3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(3) _____.

(2) Jefferey Whittle.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative indicated that an IDS filed 2/13/02 had not been considered and an initialed copy was not sent with the previous Office Action. The Examiner indicated that the IDS would be considered and an initialed copy would be faxed, along with a this interview summary, to Applicants' representative. However, the Declaration of Charles C. Lewis and Terrance Moore could not be considered and is indicated as such.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOSEPH THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required